DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dow, Lohnes & Albertson, PLLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

ORIGINAL

SCOTT S. PATRICK
DIRECT DIAL 202-776-2885
spatrick@dlalaw.com

WASHINGTON, D.C.

1200 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, N.W. · SUITE 800 · WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-6802 TELEPHONE 202-776-2000 · FACSIMILE 202-776-2222 ONE RAVINIA DRIVE - SUITE 1600 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30346-2108 TELEPHONE 770-901-8800 FACSIMILE 770-901-8874

December 12, 2000

VIA COURIER

RECEIVED

DEC 1 2 2000

Magalie Roman Salas, Esquire Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

FEBERAL OCHUMANICATIONS COMMISCONIN CHROSE OF THE SECRETARY

Attention:

Chief, Allocations Branch Policy and Rules Division Mass Media Bureau

Re:

KCBD-DT, Lubbock, Texas

Facility ID No. 27507

Supplement to Petition to Amend the DTV Table of Allotments

Dear Ms. Salas:

On behalf of Cosmos Broadcasting Corporation, licensee of KCBD-TV, Lubbock, Texas, and at the request of the Commission's Staff, there are transmitted herewith an original and five copies of a supplement to its *Petition for Rule Making*, submitted April 11, 2000, proposing a substitution of channel 9 for channel 43 as the station's paired DTV allocation. The supplement states that the proposed channel change is not known to impact any prospective Class A stations.

If any additional information is needed in connection with this matter, please contact me.

Respectfully submitted,

Scott S. Patrick

Enclosure

cc(w/): Ms. Nazifa Naim (FCC; Courier Delivered Stamp-and-Return copy)

No. of Copies rec'd_ List ABCDE

MMB

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the	e Matter of)	
Table	ndment of Section 73.622(b) e of Allotments,)	MM Docket No
_	al Television Broadcast Stations bock, Texas))))	RECEIVED
		,	DEC 1 2 2000
To:	Chief, Allocations Branch Policy and Rules Division		Federal Commingations Commission Corne of the Secretary

SUPPLEMENT TO COSMOS BROADCASTING CORPORATION'S PETITION FOR RULE MAKING TO AMEND THE DTV TABLE OF ALLOTMENTS

Mass Media Bureau

Cosmos Broadcasting Corporation ("Cosmos"), licensee of KCBD-TV, Lubbock, Texas, by its attorneys and pursuant to the request of the Commission's Staff, hereby submits the attached Engineering Statement to supplement its petition to institute a rulemaking to amend Section 73.622(b), the DTV Table of Allotments, by substituting channel 9 as the station's paired DTV allocation for the transition period in lieu of channel 43, as originally allotted. As indicated in the Technical Statement, the proposed facilities would not adversely impact any eligible and known prospective Class A station. By submitting this supplement, Cosmos is not conceding that it is required to protect an impacted Class A station in these circumstances.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons previously described in its Petition for Rule Making,

Cosmos respectfully reiterates its request that the Commission initiate a rule making proceeding

to amend Section 73.622(b) of its Rules to substitute channel 9 for channel 43 for use by KCBD-DT at the allotted reference point in Lubbock, Texas.

Respectfully Submitted,

COSMOS BROADCASTING CORPORATION

John S. Logan

Its Attorneys

Dow, Lohnes & Albertson, PLLC 1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036-6802 202-776-2000

Dated: December 12, 2000

ATTACHMENT

Technical Statement

TECHNICAL SUPPLEMENT
CLASS A INTERFERENCE ANALYSIS
TO SUPPORT THE
PETITION FOR RULE MAKING TO
MODIFY THE DTV ALLOTMENT TABLE
STATION KCBD-DT
LUBBOCK, TEXAS

Technical Statement

This Technical Supplement was prepared on behalf of KCBD-DT in support of the pending *Petition for Rule Making* to modify the DTV allotment of KCBD-DT from channel 43 to channel 9.

An FCC staff member has requested this analysis of the impact to Class A television stations from the proposed DTV Channel 9 allotment at Lubbock, Texas.

Therefore, this supplement was prepared and submitted.

As discussed below, the proposed Lubbock DTV 9 facility does not cause any prohibited contour overlap to any existing Low Power Television (LPTV) station with an FCC accepted Class A eligibility certification.

For the analysis, all the authorized and licensed LPTV stations within 500 kilometers on Channel 9 (cochannel) and 300 kilometers on the adjacent channels were tabulated. This tabulation is provided below. Each station was then analyzed to determine if the Class A eligibility certification was accepted Commission. As shown on the below tabulation, none of these LPTV stations

¹ The study radius of 500 kilometers for co-channel and 300 kilometers for adjacent-channel stations is a sufficient distance to study the necessary low powered television stations. The Commission's CDBS was employed searching only current records.

requested Class A status and therefore, no protection is required.

LPTV Stations within proposed Channel 9 Lubbock DTV							
300 kilometers for adjacent channels (channels 8,10)							
500 kilom	eters for co-channel						
		Distance from					
Low Power		Proposed Channel 9 at					
Television Station	Facilities	Lubbock (km)					
K10HH	Channel 10	151					
Big Spring, TX	0.074 Kw						
Station did not file	Statement of Class A El	igibility.					
K09IA	Channel 9	291					
Conchas Dam, NM	0.014 kW						
Station did not file	Statement of Class A El	igibility.					
K09KC	Channel 9	299					
Santa Rosa, NM	0.009 kW	· · ·					
	Statement of Class A El	igibility.					
K09AW	Channel 9	354					
Roy, NM	0.011 kW						
	Statement of Class A El	igibility.					
K09CR	Channel 9	376					
Wagon Mount, NM	0.028 kW						
	Statement of Class A El	igibility.					
K09AI	Channel 9	382					
Las Vegas, NM	0.02 kW						
	Statement of Class A El	igibility.					
K09DZ	Channel 9	419					
Mora, NM	0.007 kW	413					
	Statement of Class A El	igihility					
	Statement of class A El						
IVOOLO							
K09LQ	Channel 9	421					
Guadalupita, NM	Channel 9 0.002 kW	421					
Guadalupita, NM Station did not file	Channel 9 0.002 kW Statement of Class A El	421 igibility.					
Guadalupita, NM Station did not file K09FV	Channel 9 0.002 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9	421					
Guadalupita, NM Station did not file K09FV Orofino, ID	Channel 9 0.002 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.02 kW	421 igibility. 422					
Guadalupita, NM Station did not file K09FV Orofino, ID Station did not file	Channel 9 0.002 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.02 kW Statement of Class A El	421 igibility. 422 igibility.					
Guadalupita, NM Station did not file K09FV Orofino, ID Station did not file K09AK	Channel 9 0.002 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.02 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9	421 igibility. 422					
Guadalupita, NM Station did not file K09FV Orofino, ID Station did not file K09AK Eagle Nest, NM	Channel 9 0.002 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.02 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.009 kW	igibility. 422 igibility. 462					
Guadalupita, NM Station did not file K09FV Orofino, ID Station did not file K09AK Eagle Nest, NM Station did not file	Channel 9 0.002 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.02 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.009 kW Statement of Class A El	igibility. 422 igibility. 462 igibility.					
Guadalupita, NM Station did not file K09FV Orofino, ID Station did not file K09AK Eagle Nest, NM Station did not file K09HU	Channel 9 0.002 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.02 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.009 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 Channel 9	igibility. 422 igibility. 462					
Guadalupita, NM Station did not file K09FV Orofino, ID Station did not file K09AK Eagle Nest, NM Station did not file K09HU Hoehne, CO	Channel 9 0.002 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.02 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.009 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.003 kW	igibility. 422 igibility. 462 igibility. 464					
Guadalupita, NM Station did not file K09FV Orofino, ID Station did not file K09AK Eagle Nest, NM Station did not file K09HU Hoehne, CO Station did not file	Channel 9 0.002 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.02 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.009 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.003 kW Statement of Class A El	igibility. 422 igibility. 462 igibility. 464 igibility.					
Guadalupita, NM Station did not file K09FV Orofino, ID Station did not file K09AK Eagle Nest, NM Station did not file K09HU Hoehne, CO Station did not file K09IY	Channel 9 0.002 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.02 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.009 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.003 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 Channel 9 0.003 kW	igibility. 422 igibility. 462 igibility. 464					
Guadalupita, NM Station did not file K09FV Orofino, ID Station did not file K09AK Eagle Nest, NM Station did not file K09HU Hoehne, CO Station did not file K09IY Vermejo Park, NM	Channel 9 0.002 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.02 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.009 kW Statement of Class A El Channel 9 0.003 kW Statement of Class A El	igibility. 422 igibility. 462 igibility. 464 igibility. 469					

_Consulting Engineers

Page 3

Lubbock, Florida

LPTV Stations within proposed Channel 9 Lubbock DTV 300 kilometers for adjacent channels (channels 8,10)				
500 kilometers for co-channel (channel 9)				
		Distance from		
Low Power		Proposed Channel 9 at		
Television Station	Facilities	Lubbock (km)		
K09LN	Channel 9	475		
Rinconada, NM	0.04 kW			
Station did not file Statement of Class A Eligibility.				

Conclusion

The proposed Lubbock DTV Channel 9 will not cause predicted interference to any low power television station having a Class A eligibility statement accepted by the Commission.

Charles Cooper

du Treil, Lundin & Rackley, Inc. 201 Fletcher Avenue Sarasota, Florida 324237 941.329.6000

November 20, 2000